

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/667,060</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) SCHAFTLEIN ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner PHUONG N. HOANG</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2194</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/667,060	Applicant(s) SCHAFTLEIN ET AL.	Examiner PHUONG N. HOANG	Art Unit 2194
Application No. 10/667,060	Applicant(s) SCHAFTLEIN ET AL.				
Examiner PHUONG N. HOANG	Art Unit 2194				

All Participants:
 (1) PHUONG N. HOANG.
 (2) Joe Franklin.

Date of Interview: 5 August 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
Claims 9 and 32

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed the typo error in claim 9, and 101 issue in claim 32. Applicant agreed to authorize to do examiner's amendment to amend "non-transitory" before computer readable medium.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/P. N. H./
Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)